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NERVE STIMULATOR GUIDED SUPRASCAPULAR BLOCK IN ADHESIVE CAPSULITIS: A NOVEL TECHNIQUE

Shailendra Singh Chauhan

Adhesive capsulitis, also known as ‘Frozen Shoulder’ is characterized by spontaneous onset of gradually progressive shoulder pain and restriction of active and passive glenohumeral movement in all planes. \(^1\, \^2\) The current consensus definition of American Shoulder and Elbow Surgeons is “a condition of uncertain etiology characterized by significant restriction of both active and passive shoulder motion that occurs in the absence of a known intrinsic shoulder disorder.” \(^3\) Relieving pain and restoring of normal shoulder function are the common aims of various methods used in the treatment of adhesive capsulitis. \(^4\, \^7\) To achieve this goal, therapeutic exercises are the most important part of the treatment program. The most important factor preventing active exercise is pain. Suprascapular nerve block is effective for the management of shoulder pain resulting from adhesive capsulitis. \(^8\, \^10\) There are various techniques to block suprascapular nerve. \(^11\) These techniques either depend on costly instruments or are blind & technically difficult. This technique is simple & effectively blocks suprascapular nerve as the drug is injected at the point nearest to the nerve guided by motor nerve stimulator.

EPIDEMIOLOGY & CLASSIFICATION

It is a common condition affecting around 2% to 5% of general population. \(^5\) It most commonly affects women aged between 40 & 60 years often presenting bilaterally. It has not been reported to have any predilection with race.

Adhesive capsulitis is usually classified as primary or secondary. It is called Primary if no findings on history or examination explain the onset of disease, may be related to hormonal or immunologic changes. Secondary develops due to stiffness & immobility caused by some trauma or surgery.

The present study was conducted to evaluate clinical efficacy of nerve stimulator guided suprascapular nerve block techniques in patients with adhesive capsulitis.

MATERIAL & METHODS

Eighty patients [male: female/55:25], in age group [40 -65 years] suffering from adhesive capsulitis were studied over a period of one year. All these patients received supra-scapular nerve block via above mentioned technique. The patients who had pain & stiffness in shoulders [one or both] for at least 4 weeks, aggravated by movements, who had restricted active & passive range of movements[ROM] at gleno-humeral joint, who had sleep disturbance with increased pain when sleeping on the affected site, were included. The patients with no history of recent trauma & no previous injection in the involved shoulder, no history of allergy to local anaesthetics & steroids,
Mark the acromian process on the affected side & the medial end of spine of scapula. Draw a line between the two points & divide it in three equal parts. Draw a 2 cm line perpendicular in cephalad direction from the junction of medial 1/3 & lateral 2/3 of the straight line. Draw a line 2 cm long parallel to the straight line in lateral direction. Mark this point as the entry point of the needle.

**Direction of needle (Figure 2)**

After infiltration [superficial only] of the entry point with 2% Lidocaine plain, 5 cm 22G Stimuplex needle is directed perpendicular to all planes with a lateral angle of 20-30 degrees. Normally the nerve is stimulated at an average distance of 3-5 cm.

**End point of nerve stimulation**

External rotation of arm & abduction of shoulder at 0.5 mA, 1 HZ stimulation was considered as the end point.

**Drug delivery**

At this point after negative aspiration of blood 10 ml 0.5% bupivacaine plain mixed with 40 mg Depomedrol was injected.

---

**OBSERVATION & RESULT**

1. VAS score: Mean VAS values are given in following table (table 1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>BASELINE</th>
<th>AT 10 MINUTE</th>
<th>AT 1 WEEK</th>
<th>AT 1 MONTH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VAS score</td>
<td>84.3±12.8</td>
<td>1.2±8.4</td>
<td>2.5±8.0</td>
<td>2.2±7.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There was significant decrease in mean VAS score after the block & the difference was statistically significant (p<0.05).

2. Range of Movements (table 2)

Mean active & passive ROM values in all planes are given in following table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>At 10 minutes</th>
<th>At 1 week</th>
<th>At 1 month</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Glenohumeral</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abduction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passive</td>
<td>&lt;60</td>
<td>&gt;75</td>
<td>&gt;75</td>
<td>&gt;75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>&lt;60</td>
<td>&gt;75</td>
<td>&gt;75</td>
<td>&gt;75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scapulothoracic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abduction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passive</td>
<td>&lt;45</td>
<td>&gt;60</td>
<td>&gt;60</td>
<td>&gt;60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>&lt;45</td>
<td>&gt;60</td>
<td>&gt;60</td>
<td>&gt;60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Abduction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passive</td>
<td>&lt;100</td>
<td>&gt;125</td>
<td>&gt;125</td>
<td>&gt;125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>&lt;75</td>
<td>&gt;125</td>
<td>&gt;125</td>
<td>&gt;125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passive</td>
<td>&lt;125</td>
<td>&gt;150</td>
<td>&gt;150</td>
<td>&gt;150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>&lt;125</td>
<td>&gt;150</td>
<td>&gt;150</td>
<td>&gt;150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extension</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passive</td>
<td>&lt;45</td>
<td>&gt;60</td>
<td>&gt;60</td>
<td>&gt;60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>&lt;30</td>
<td>&gt;55</td>
<td>&gt;45</td>
<td>&gt;45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal Rotation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passive</td>
<td>&lt;45</td>
<td>&gt;60</td>
<td>&gt;60</td>
<td>&gt;60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>&lt;30</td>
<td>&gt;55</td>
<td>&gt;45</td>
<td>&gt;45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External Rotation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passive</td>
<td>&lt;45</td>
<td>&gt;75</td>
<td>&gt;75</td>
<td>&gt;75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>&lt;30</td>
<td>&gt;60</td>
<td>&gt;55</td>
<td>&gt;55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**TECHNIQUE**

Preparation of block: Following material was required as per our protocol: Supra scapular nerve block required a sterile tray, Nerve locator [motor], Stimuplex insulated needle 5 cm long 22G, 10 ml syringe, marking pen, vial bupivacaine 20 ml 0.5%, inj Depomedrol 40 mg, ECG electrode.

Positioning of patient: Patient in sitting position with both the hands resting on thigh.

**Superficial Landmarks (Figure 1)**

---
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There was significant increase in range of movements after the block & the difference was statistically significant (*p<.05). Average onset of time of block was 8.5 minutes & range was from 6 to 10 minutes. Average time taken to perform block was 6.2 minutes. No significant adverse effects were noted.

**DISCUSSION**

Shoulder pain and restriction of glenohumeral movements are the main clinical findings in adhesive capsulitis. Considering the functional disability, the most important components of the treatment are pain relief and therapeutic exercises for early mobilization.19

A simple and effective regional nerve block method for shoulder pain is the suprascapular nerve block. Nerve locator guided technique was chosen for more accuracy, easy availability & presence of motor fibres innervating supraspinatus & infraspinatus muscle in suprascapular nerve.

The most appropriate site is around the suprascapular notch, in which the nerve can also be located easily. That decided the entry point of needle insertion.

Various suprascapular nerve block techniques have been described by several investigators.19-24 This type of approach is easy, delivers drug in near vicinity of nerve hence more effective than other blind techniques and decreases the risk of pneumothorax.

**CONCLUSION**

This new motor nerve locator guided technique enables more accurate placement of needle tip near nerve thus a more successful nerve block as compared to classical blind technique. Other techniques which provide comparable results are either costly or are not widely available. This technique is very effective, less time consuming and easy to use.

To determine the minimum effective dosage for the nerve block, more comparative studies are needed. Proven effective in adhesive capsulitis in the present study, we believe the motor nerve locator guided technique can also be used in appropriate cases of shoulder pain caused by pathologies other than adhesive capsulitis.
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Extubation is fraught with its own set of potential complications which amongst many includes marked hyperdynamic responses which might have deleterious impact on cardio pulmonary status of the patient. This study compared the efficacy of dexmedetomidine with esmolol in attenuating post extubation hyperdynamic responses in patients undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy under general anaesthesia.

Patients belonging to I – II, of either sex, between 18 years and 60 years, scheduled for elective laparoscopic cholecystectomy under general anaesthesia, were included. The study was conducted in a randomized prospective double –blinded manner. The patients were divided into three groups of 32 each using opaque sealed envelope method. In GROUP-C, one ml of normal saline was injected as an i.v bolus dose 5 minutes prior to extubation. In GROUP-D, Dexmedetomidine in an i.v bolus dose of 0.5 mcg/kg was given slowly over a period of one minute, 5 minutes prior to reversal and extubation. Group D and Group E both showed control of H.R, S.B.P, D.B.P, M.A.P and was statistically significant when compared with Group C (p<0.01). Group D showed sustained decline in H.R, S.B.P, D.B.P, M.A.P when compared with their pre-extubation values and this attenuation was better when compared with Group E and this was statistically significant (p< 0.01). Group E also showed adequate control of H.R, S.B.P, D.B.P, M.A.P when compared with their respective pre-extubation values.

Dexmedetomidine and esmolol were effective in controlling rise of pulse and blood pressure during extubation phase, however dexmedetomidine stands better due to its additional analgesic, sedative and anti–emetic actions.

KEY WORDS : Extubation, laparoscopic cholecystectomy, dexmedetomidine, esmolol

METHODS

This study was conducted after approval from the hospital ethics committee. Ninety Six patients belonging to American Society Of Anaesthesiologists physical status I or II , of either sex , between 18 years and 60 years, scheduled for elective laparoscopic cholecystectomy under general anaesthesia, were included after obtaining written informed consent from the patients. Patients with cardiopulmonary illness, metabolic disorders, nervous system disorders, having hypersensitivity reactions to any of the drugs under study, bleeding diathesis , receiving any drug for hypertension and/or ischemic heart diseases , with impaired hepatic and renal function were excluded from the study.

The study was conducted in a randomized prospective double –blinded manner. The patients were divided into three groups of 32 each using opaque sealed envelope method.

Heart rate (H.R) , Systolic blood pressure (S.B.P), Diastolic blood pressure (D.B.P), Mean arterial pressure (M.A.P), peripheral oxygen saturation (spo2) were measured on arrival of patient in the operating room.

Inj. Glycopyrrolate 0.2 m.g i.v was given 15 minutes before induction. Anaesthesia was induced with i.v injections of propofol 1-2 mg/kg and succinyl choline 1-1.5 mg/kg and other emergent circumstances where short term control of ventricular rate with a short acting agent is desirable.

This study compared the efficacy of dexmedetomidine with esmolol in attenuating post extubation hyperdynamic responses in patients undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy under general anaesthesia.
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Exubation is fraught with its own set of potential complications which amongst many includes marked hyperdynamic responses which might have deleterious impact on cardio pulmonary status of the patient. This study compared the efficacy of dexmedetomidine with esmolol in attenuating post extubation hyperdynamic responses in patients undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy under general anaesthesia.
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INTRODUCTION

Extubation is fraught with its own set of potential complications which amongst many includes marked hyperdynamic responses which might have deleterious impact on cardio pulmonary status of the patient.

We used cases of “laparoscopic cholecystectomy” as a stepping stone to extrapolate the findings of our study during extubation phase to much more specialized surgeries like neurosurgery and cardiac surgery wherein post extubation hyperdynamic responses may prove deleterious for “at risk” patients and may have adverse impact on the outcome of anaesthesia.

Several ways such as extubation of the tract and the patient in a deep plane of anesthesia achieved by inhalational anesthetic agents, opioids have been used to attenuate these hemodynamic responses to tracheal extubation. But these ways may lead to depression of the respiratory and cardiovascular system or difficulty in maintaining the upper airway further complicating the situation. This is where the introduction of dexmedetomidine has stepped in to plug this gap in patients undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy under general anaesthesia, were included after obtaining written informed consent from the patients. Patients with cardiopulmonary illness, metabolic disorders, nervous system disorders, having hypersensitivity reactions to any of the drugs under study, bleeding diathesis , receiving any drug for hypertension and/or ischemic heart diseases , with impaired hepatic and renal function were excluded from the study.

The study was conducted in a randomized prospective double –blinded manner. The patients were divided into three groups of 32 each using opaque sealed envelope method.

Heart rate (H.R) , Systolic blood pressure (S.B.P), Diastolic blood pressure (D.B.P), Mean arterial pressure (M.A.P), peripheral oxygen saturation (spo2) were measured on arrival of patient in the operating room.

Inj. Glycopyrrolate 0.2 m.g i.v was given 15 minutes before induction. Anaesthesia was induced with i.v injections of propofol 1-2 mg/kg and succinyl choline 1-1.5 mg/kg and other emergent circumstances where short term control of ventricular rate with a short acting agent is desirable.

This study compared the efficacy of dexmedetomidine with esmolol in attenuating post extubation hyperdynamic responses in patients undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy under general anaesthesia.
Adequate skeletal muscle relaxation was maintained with loading dose of vecuronium (0.04-0.06 mg/kg) followed by intermittent i.v boluses of 0.01 mg/kg as and when required. If hemodynamic values changed by more than 15% from baseline, anaesthetic concentration of isoflurane was readjusted. Isoflurane was stopped 10 minutes prior to the end of surgery. In GROUP-C, one ml of normal saline was injected as an i.v bolus dose 5 minutes prior to extubation. In GROUP-D, Dexmedetomidine in an i.v bolus dose of 0.5 mcg/kg was given slowly over 10 minute, prior to extubation. In GROUP-E, Esmolol in an i.v bolus dose of 0.5 mg/kg given slowly over a period of one minute, 5 minutes prior to reversal and extubation. Residual neuromuscular block was antagonized with neostigmine 0.05 mg/kg and glycopyrolate 0.2 mg. S.B.P., D.B.P, M.A.P, H.R, SPO2 were measured prior to administration of drugs under study and then at 1, 5, 10, 15, 20 minutes after extubation.

Patients were observed at 30 minutes after extubation for post operative nausea, vomiting, analgesia and sedation. Degree of post operative pain was recorded on visual analog scale (VAS). Sedation score was evaluated post operatively and maximum at any time was recorded using Ramsay sedation scale.

Requirement of rescue analgesic and anti-emetics was noted for the first 30 minutes after extubation. Statistical analysis was performed using Microsoft excel 2007 and online statistical calculation website. Chi-square test, Tukey HDS and student’s t-test (’unpaired and one way ANOVA) were used where appropriate, to test the significance of data. Data are being presented as mean ± S.D.A "p" value of <0.05 was considered significant.

RESULTS

In total, 96 patients were initially randomized into three study who eventually completed the study successfully. These patients were managed under conventional general anaesthesia. The demographics, baseline haemodynamics and pulmonary functions were comparable between the study groups. There was no significant difference in the duration of surgery, I.V fluid infused and estimated blood loss between the groups.

The control group (GROUP-C) showed post- extubation elevation in H.R, S.B.P, D.B.P, M.A.P when compared with their respective pre-extubation values at various time intervals under observation. The control group showed a control in the parameters under study at 15 minutes post-extubation.

Group D and Group E both showed control of H.R, S.B.P, D.B.P, M.A.P and was statistically significant when compared with Group C (p<0.01).

Group D showed sustained decline in H.R, S.B.P, D.B.P, M.A.P when compared with their pre-extubation values and this attenuation was better when compared with Group E and this was statistically significant (p<0.01).

Group E also showed adequate control of H.R, S.B.P, D.B.P, M.A.P when compared with their respective pre-extubation values.

In Group D, 5 patients (15.64%) had episode of vomiting, 4 patients (12.5%) complained of pain and 28 patients (87.5%) were sedated when observed at 30 minutes in post operative period.

In comparison to Group D, Group E and Group C showed increased incidence of vomiting and pain and reduced incidence of patients being sedated and this was statistically significant (p<0.01).

No statistically significant differences were found in the incidence of pain, vomiting and sedation between Group C and Group E (p >0.05).

DISCUSSION

We have studied the effects of Dexmedetomidine & Esmolol, agents with known sympatholytic effects. We therefore, before embarking upon our study had anticipated a certain degree of control over post-extubation rise in blood pressure and heart rate. To our expectation both the drugs produced results along the expected line although in varying fashion. Since Dexmedetomidine has more of central effects than esmolol, it produced other beneficial effects like sedation and analgesia.

Numerous drugs and their combinations have been tried in the past and studies have highlighted the use of these drugs in varying doses for suppression of stress responses but not without significant incidence of quite a few side-effects, especially with higher doses of opioids. The analgesic, sedation, anxiolytic, sympatholytic and blunting of exaggerated haemodynamic responses by administration of dexmedetomidine are being extensively studied and are mainly mediated by the activation of alpha-2 receptors located in the post-synaptic terminals in the central nervous system (CNS), which causes decreased neuronal activity and augmentation of the vagal activity. The role of alpha-2 agonists in regulating the autonomic and cardiovascular responses whereby they inhibit release of catecholamines (norepinephrine) from the sympathetic nerve terminals by augmentation of a vasoconstrictive effect.

Esmolol, a cardioselective beta blocker with distribution half-life of 2 minutes and a short duration of action due to rapid clearance (clearance half-life = 9 minutes). The rapid metabolism of esmolol by RBC’s esterases along with its beta-blocking activity can be lowered rapidly by changing the rate of infusion and obtaining rapid reversibility of effect in the minutes following interruption of the infusion.

The esmolol dose is therefore manageable and individual adjustments can be made in function of a patient’s clinical status. Such properties mean that esmolol is indicated for short-term treatment of hypertension and tachycardia during the perioperative period and in clinical situations that require easy unblocking of beta receptors.

In the present study rather than using dexmedetomidine in conventional loading dose of 1 mcg/kg over a period of ten minutes we have used it in a dose of 0.5 mcg/kg over a period of ten minutes prior to extubation. We noted obtundation of post extubation haemodynamic responses. As far as esmolol is concerned, in our study we have restricted ourselves in using it in a bolus dose of 0.5 mcg/kg which was not followed by its infusion. Various studies have used dexmedetomidine in doses ranging from 0.1 to 10 mcg/kg/hr with not so much conclusive data but associated with a significant incidence of bradycardia and hypotension in higher doses. We used dexmedetomidine in a dose of 0.5 mcg/kg.
over a period of 10 minutes prior to extubation and observed obtundation of post extubation hyperdynamic responses as evident by sustained decline in H.R, S.B.P, D.B.P, M.A.P when compared with their respective pre-extubation values. Studies using dexmedetomidine have commonly reported cardiac side effects like bradycardia, sinus pause, which is mainly due to sympatholytic effect as well as preservation of baroreflex mechanisms. But none of the patients in our study had such an incidence, which could have warranted the use of atropine possibly due to the usage of low dose of dexmedetomidine given in the form of slow i.v infusion over a period of ten minutes.

Esmolol on the other hand attenuated post-extubation hyperdynamic responses if not obtundng them altogether.

However, to substantiate the cardiovascular safety of such drugs, such a small study of ours is not sufficient and larger meta-analytical studies are required. The rapid speed of infusion also determines, to a large extent, the higher incidence of side effects such as apnoea and irregular ventilation, and occurs due to increased central sedation rather than direct respiratory depressant effect. On the contrary it is demonstrated that a lower dose of dexmedetomidine decreases the risk of apnoea and is considered a better alternative in critical patients in whom narcotics can cause severe respiratory depression.

Dexmedetomidine enables a smooth transition from the time of administration of reversal to the post-extubation phase by suppressing the CNS sympathetic activity, leading to a high quality of extubation, as was observed in the majority of our patients in Group D.

There were certain limitations as the present study was carried out in a surgical procedure of short duration and on a smaller number of patients. More studies are required to establish the effects of a single dose of dexmedetomidine in surgeries of longer duration. Moreover, the effect was seen in ASA I/II patients, but the usefulness will be of immense help in high-risk cardiac and neuro-surgical patients who we could not study because we did not have an advanced cardiac and neurosurgery set-up at our institute. The use of bi-spectral index system would have been ideal in drawing the conclusions.

TABLE I: COMPARISON OF H. RATE AT TIME (T1) - one minute post- extubation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N= 32</th>
<th>GROUP-I</th>
<th>GROUP-II</th>
<th>GROUP-III</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MEAN± SD</td>
<td>132±9.6</td>
<td>84.6±10.1</td>
<td>95±15.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RANGE</td>
<td>98-148</td>
<td>68-115</td>
<td>52-122</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HR = heart rate, SD = standard deviation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N= 32</th>
<th>GROUP-I</th>
<th>GROUP-II</th>
<th>GROUP-III</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MEAN± SD</td>
<td>114±5.31</td>
<td>90.3±9.5</td>
<td>101±11.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RANGE</td>
<td>107-126</td>
<td>66-110</td>
<td>78-132</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MAP= mean arterial pressure, SD = standard deviation
CONCLUSION

Both the drugs, dexmedetomidine and esmolol were effective in controlling rise of pulse and blood pressure during extubation phase, however dexmedetomidine stands better due to its additional analgesic, sedative and anti-emetic actions.
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EASE OF FIBREOPTIC INTUBATION WITH TWO DIFFERENT CONCENTRATION OF PROPOFOL AND KETAMINE

Rajiv Gautam1, B.K. Raw2, L.S. Mishra1, Gaurav Misra1

ABSTRACT

Fibreoptic intubation is an integral part of caring for patients in whom airway access is expected to be difficult. The purpose of our study was to compare the intubating conditions and hemodynamic response during fibreoptic intubation with two different concentrations of propofol and ketamine, ratio (1:1) in group 1 and (1:2) in group 2, used for optimization of fibreoptic intubation. Intubating conditions were scored on 1-3 grade based on coughing, swallowing, patient movement, laryngospasm, and ease of intubation. The level of sedation was determined by Ramsay Sedation Scale, grading from 1-6, with a target to achieve a score of 5 (patient exhibits sluggish response to light glabellar tap or loud auditory stimulus). Significantly, intubating conditions were better in group 1 and hemodynamic variation was less. The group 2 patients showed significant increase in pulse rate, blood pressure, excessive secretions and intubating conditions were inferior as compared to other group. We conclude acceptable intubating conditions with use of propofol and ketamine (1:1) and it may be used for fibreoptic intubation in difficult airway situations.

INTRODUCTION

Fibreoptic intubation is an integral part of caring for patients in whom airway access is expected to be difficult and direct laryngoscopy is deemed difficult or unsafe. The techniques vary, depending on whether nasal or oral approach is used and patient is awake or anaesthetized. The goals of procedural sedation are to provide an adequate level of sedation to make the procedure tolerable, while minimizing pain and anxiety, maximizing amnesia, minimizing adverse drug related events and maintaining stable cardiovascular and respiratory status.

METHOD

The study population included 70 premedicated adult patients aged 18-60 years, ASA І-ІІ undergoing fibreoptic intubation. Exclusion criteria included patient’s refusal, hypersensitivity to any study medication, patient having any cardio-respiratory illness or coagulopathy. Hospital Ethical Committee approval and informed written consent was obtained. A large
bore intravenous canula was inserted for drug and continuous fluid administration. All the patients were premedicated with inj. glycopyrrolate 0.2 mg intramuscularly, 30 min prior to induction of anaesthesia. All the patients received inj. midazolam 1 mg intravenously (IV), alongwith vasoconstrictor nose drops before commencement of the procedure and 10% xylocaine spray in oral cavity. The patients were randomly assigned to one of the two groups, using a "slips of paper in a box" technique.

Group 1: A propofol/ketamine admixture was prepared by an assistant who was not involved in clinical management of study patients. According to a prestudy randomization schedule of study group assignment, a ketofol (1:1): propofol 8.33 mg/ml, ketamine 8.33 mg/ml by mixing 5 ml propofol 1% (10 mg/ml) with 1 ml ketamine (50 mg/ml) in Group 1.

Group 2: In group 2, Ketofol (1:2): propofol 7.14 mg/ml, ketamine 14.28 mg/ml by mixing 5 ml propofol 1% (10mg/ml) with 2 ml ketamine (50 mg/ml) was given for sedation.

A bolus dose was given as 2mg/kg followed by a maintenance dose. The level of sedation was assessed at 1-3 minutes intervals , and the maintenance dose was adjusted to achieve Ramsay Sedation Scale of 5 before starting the procedure.

During the procedure patients were kept on oxygen nasal prongs with Oxygen flow at 5 l/minute. All the patients were monitored with NIBP, electrocardiography (ECG), pulse oximetry (SpO2), heart rate (HR), and end tidal carbon dioxide (EtCO2), measured every 3 minutes. The measurement started before commencement of the intravenous line (IV) line and continued 5 minutes after successful intubation. The patients were also assessed for apnoea, which was defined as the loss of respiratory efforts for more than 20 seconds or fall of SpO2 below 95%. Complaints of pain/discomfort were treated by an incremental increase in the study drug dose. Other adverse events including necessity for airway intervention, hypotension, hypoxia, myoclonus, seizure, rash, dysphoric emergence phenomenon (agitation,hallucination), vomiting were also noted.

The study drug was discontinued after successful intubation with reinforced endotracheal tube of appropriate size, and the total drug requirements were noted. The fiberoptic intubation was attempted by an experienced anaesthesiologist. Once intubation was complete and the endotracheal tube secured, general anaesthesia was administered and surgery was allowed to proceed.

The two groups were similar regarding demographic profile (Table 1). There were no intergroup difference between baseline hemodynamic measurement and SpO2 value. Intubation was successful in all the patients in group 1 and also in group 2.

Score obtained for each variable of intubating conditions and score of patients is shown in table 2. In group 1 the overall incidence of coughing, swallowing, patient movement was significantly less as compared to group 2. There was no incidence of laryngospasm in any of the group during the procedure. The overall ease of intubation was judged on a 3 point scale. The intubation was easy in 34 of the patients in group 1, with difficulty only in 1 patient, whereas intubation was difficult in 7 patients in group 2 due to coughing, patient movement and excess secretion as compared to group 1.

Haemodynamic variables were comparable initially in both groups. There was a significant rise in blood pressure and pulse rate in group 2 after the start of the procedure, but no significant alteration in group 1. The SpO2 values showed no significant difference between both the groups, during the procedure.

Table 2: Scoring criteria for intubating conditions and score of patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grades</th>
<th>Coughing</th>
<th>Swallowing</th>
<th>Movement</th>
<th>Laryngospasm</th>
<th>Ease of intubation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 2 3</td>
<td>1 2 3</td>
<td>1 2 3</td>
<td>1 2 3</td>
<td>1 2 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>33 2 0</td>
<td>34 1 0</td>
<td>33 2 0</td>
<td>35 0 0 34 1 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>94.3 5.7</td>
<td>97 1.2</td>
<td>2.9 0</td>
<td>94.3 5.7 100 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 2</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>29 6 0</td>
<td>32 3 0</td>
<td>27 8 0</td>
<td>35 0 0 28 7 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>32.9 17.1</td>
<td>91.4 8.6</td>
<td>33 6 0</td>
<td>77.1 22.9 100 0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Coughing-nil, 1-mild, 2-severe
Swallowing 1-nil, 2-mild, 3-severe
Movement 1-nil, 1-mild, 2-severe
Laryngospasm 1-nil, 2-mild, 3-severe
Ease of intubation 1-easy, 2-difficult, 3-impossible

RESULTS

The two groups were similar regarding demographic profile (Table 1). There were no intergroup difference between baseline hemodynamic measurement and SpO2 value. Intubation was successful in all the patients in group 1 and also in group 2.

Score obtained for each variable of intubating conditions alongwith the number of patients is shown in table 2. In group 1 the overall incidence of coughing, swallowing, patient movement was remarkably less as compared to group 2. There was no incidence of laryngospasm in any of the group during the procedure. The overall ease of intubation was judged on a 3 point scale. The intubation was easy in 34 of the patients in group 1, with difficulty only in 1 patient, whereas intubation was difficult in 7 patients in group 2 due to coughing, patient movement and excess secretion as compared to group 1. Displayed: Awake fiberoptic intubation is a technique used in patients with difficult airway. Controlled sedation and analgesia are paramount.
to this technique, but deep sedation can result in loss of airway with severe consequences. Ovassapian\(^1\) concluded that conscious sedation is desirable to make this procedure tolerable.

Our primary outcome measures were the endoscopy and intubation scores which quantified the patient tolerance of endoscopy and intubation. Both were significantly improved with propofol and ketamine in the ratio 1:1.

The stability and safety of intravenous propofol/ketamine combination (ketofol) in a single syringe is already established and found to be physically compatible and chemically stable.\(^3\) The sedative effects of propofol and ketamine have been found to be additive for anaesthesia induction.\(^2\) The addition of low dose ketamine to propofol have been found to preserve MAP without prolonging recovery or incidence of adverse events.\(^1\) The co-administration of propofol and low dose ketamine provides better LMA insertion conditions and during fibreoptic bronchoscopy as compared to fentanyl propofol/ketamine.\(^3\) This combination also provides effective level of sedation with stable hemodynamics in pediatric patients undergoing cardiac catheterization.\(^4\)

In conclusion our study has shown that addition of ketamine to propofol provides better hemodynamics, acceptable intubating conditions, and low dose ketamine provides better laryngeal mask airway insertion conditions.\(^5\) The stability and safety of intravenous propofol/ketamine combination (ketofol) in a single syringe is already established and found to be physically compatible and chemically stable.\(^3\) The sedative effects of propofol and ketamine have been found to be additive for anaesthesia induction.\(^2\) The addition of low dose ketamine to propofol have been found to preserve MAP without prolonging recovery or incidence of adverse events.\(^1\) The co-administration of propofol and low dose ketamine provides better LMA insertion conditions and during fibreoptic bronchoscopy as compared to fentanyl propofol/ketamine.\(^3\) This combination also provides effective level of sedation with stable hemodynamics in pediatric patients undergoing cardiac catheterization.\(^4\)
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ABSTRACT

Anaphylaxis is an uncommon event during pregnancy but if it does arise, it has a serious implication for both the mother and fetus. We are reporting a case of level 5/level 6 anaphylactic reaction to co-amoxiclav (Amoxicillin and Clavulanic acid) which occurred in a term parturient in spite of a negative intra-dermal skin testing which ultimately led to intrauterine fetal death. In the post operative period, the mother required hemodynamic support and progressed to DIC. Aggressive and timely management spared the mother from serious morbidity. This case highlights that life threatening anaphylactic reaction can occur at any time during pregnancy and that all staff in a maternal unit should be prepared to promptly recognize and treat this condition.

Key-words: Anaphylaxis, ADR (adverse drug reaction), Pregnancy, Co-amoxiclav

INTRODUCTION

Anaphylaxis is life threatening systemic allergic reaction which may present as “primary vascular collapse without antecedent respiratory difficulty”. This quotation from Austen’s, describes exactly the presentation of our case.\(^1\) We carried out the causality, severity and preventability of ADR as per Naranjo scale\(^2\), Hartwig scale\(^3\) and Modified Schumock and Thornton scales\(^4\) (Figure 1).

CASE HISTORY

A 32 year primigravida with precious pregnancy was prepared for elective LSCS. In ward patient was tested for sensitivity to co-amoxiclav by intra-dermal skin testing, yielded negative result. Her pre-anaesthetic examination was insignificant. While the patient was prepared to be shifted to OT she was administered prophylactic dose of co-amoxiclav. Around 10-15 minutes after giving antibiotic, she started complaining of nausea and shivering with rashes and flushing. Her pulse was 125/min and BP was 80/60 mm of Hg. She was administered Inj adrenaline (1:10000)1ml i.v, Inj hydrocortisone 100 mg and Inj chlorpheniramine 25mg i.v. Cardiotocograph revealed drop in fetal heart rate. She was immediately rushed to the emergency OT.

Patient was preoxygenated; inj ketamine 75mg and inj succinylcholine 75mg was given for...
intubation. Anaesthesia was maintained with \( O_3+N_2O (50:50) \), intermittent isoflurane and rocuronium. Inj fentanyl 50 µg given after delivery. Baby revealed absent heart sounds and respiration & finally declared dead. At the end of the surgery patient was reversed but soon she developed rising pulse rate, 150 beats /min and BP dropped to 80/50mm of Hg. Therefore, i.v fluids were made fast and Inj dopamine @5 µg/kg/min was started. She was shifted to the I.C.U where BP showed a falling trend. Dopamine infusion was slowly increased to 10µg/kg/min and dobutamine was added @6µg/kg/min. Blood samples for tryptase levels at 2, 4 and 24hours after I.C.U admission, were found to be raised (2hours - 140nmol/l, at 4hours - 200nmol/l and at 24hours - 15nmol/l, normal value is <13.5nmol/l). On day 2, the patient’s BP began to stabilize and dopamine infusion was gradually reduced and dobutamine stopped. Clinically patient started to ooze from operated wound. Her biochemical investigations showed Hb-6.4gm%, TLC-3000/mm³, Platelet count 4000/mm³, LFT deranged, Blood urea-79 mg/dl, Serum creatinine-2.0 and INR-1.9. D-dimer was positive in 1:2 dilutions.

The temporal association of onset of symptoms to administration of co-amoxiclav and increased levels of serum tryptase confirmed that it was anaphylactic reaction and triggering agent was co-amoxiclav. Provisional diagnosis of anaphylactic shock with DIC was made. She was administered 6 units whole blood, 16 units FFP and 14 unit platelets over 96 hours. Patient’s clinical, haematological and biochemical parameters began to improve. She was extubated on 5th day and subsequently discharged on 7th day.

**DISCUSSION**

The lifetime prevalence of anaphylaxis in the general population is estimated as 0.05 to 2%. Data regarding the prevalence among pregnant women is limited. Prevalence is estimated as 2.7 cases per 100,000 deliveries (0.002 to 0.004%) in women near or at the time of delivery in hospitals in Texas.7

Anaphylaxis is an uncommon event during pregnancy that can have serious implications for both mother and fetus. In obstetric population, hypotension with anaphylaxis has been associated with poor fetal outcome because asphyxia from maternal hypotension. Immune mediators are unlikely to harm the fetus, because IgE does not cross the placenta and also the maternal decidua catalyze deamination of histamine and related mediators.

One of the strategies employed to determine the predisposing factor for developing drug induced anaphylaxis is administration of test dose of the drug. In our patient although the test dose of co-amoxiclav was negative, patient developed anaphylaxis. A similar report has been cited earlier.8

The management of anaphylaxis in the pregnancy is essentially same as non pregnant state. It consists of maternal resuscitation and close monitoring of the fetal status; with preparation for immediate delivery of fetus, if compromised. Treatment depends on the severity of the reaction and consists of fluid resuscitation, oxygen, epinephrine, H₁ and H₂ blockers, bronchodilators and corticosteroids.

The use of epinephrine in the obstetric population has raised concerns of decreasing uterus-placental perfusion and worsening of fetal distress. It has been suggested that vasoconstriction caused by epinephrine further compromise uterine blood flow during anaphylaxis and that ephedrine (25-50 mg) may be preferable because it improves cardiac output while sparing uterine blood flow. Furthermore, patients with anaphylaxis are prone to cardiac arrhythmias if intravenous epinephrine is used. Despite this fact, epinephrine has greater efficacy and efficiency in this situation and remains the gold standard for treatment of anaphylaxis in the pregnancy. Epinephrine is used as 5-10 µg iv bolus (0.2µg/kg of 1:10000 solution) for hypotension and at 0.1-0.5mg iv doses in presence of cardiovascular collapse. Airway support with 100%O₂ increases oxygen delivery. Fluid replacement will compensate for peripheral vasodilatation. H₂ blocker (diphenhydramine), H₁ blockers (ranitidine), bronchodilators (albuterol and ipratropium nebulizers) and corticosteroids (hydrocortisone) should be given. Corticosteroids can decrease the airway swelling and prompt recurrence of symptoms. Epinephrine infusion (0.05-0.1 µg/kg/min in 1:10000) may be necessary to maintain blood pressure.9

**FIGURE-1**

NARANJO ADR PROBABILITY SCALE

1. Are there previous conclusive reports on this reaction?  
   Yes (+1) No (0) Don’t know (0)
2. Did the adverse event appear after the suspected drug was administered?  
   Yes (+2) No (-1) Don’t know (0)
3. Did the adverse reaction improve when the drug was discontinued, or a specific antagonist was administered?  
   Yes (+1) No (0) Don’t know (0)
4. Did the adverse reaction reappear when the drug was re-administered?  
   Yes (+2) No (-1) Don’t know (0)
5. Are there alternative causes (other than the drug) that could on their own have caused the reaction?  
   Yes (+1) No (0) Don’t know (0)
6. Did the reaction reappear when a placebo was given?  
   Yes (+1) No (-1) Don’t know (0)
7. Was the drug detected in the blood (or other fluids) in concentrations known to be toxic?  
   Yes (+1) No (-1) Don’t know (0)
8. Was the reaction more severe when the dose increased, or less severe when dose was decreased?  
   Yes (+1) No (0) Don’t know (0)
9. Did the patient have a similar reaction to the same or similar drug in any previous exposure?  
   Yes (+1) No (0) Don’t know (0)
10. Was the adverse event confirmed by any objective evidence?  
    Yes (+1) No (0) Don’t know (0)

Interpretation - 9 = highly probable, 5-8 = probable, 1-4 = possible, 0 = doubtful

To the best of our knowledge, this is first report of anaphylaxis to co-amoxiclav in pregnancy despite a negative skin testing. Since anaphylaxis can occur with any drug, all members in maternal unit should be trained to identify and manage this emergency.
### Hartwig Severity Assessment Scale

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>An ADR occurred but required no change in treatment with the suspected drug.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>The ADR required that treatment with the suspected drug be held, discontinued, or otherwise changed. No antidote or other treatment requirement was required. No increase in length of stay (LOS).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>The ADR required that treatment with the suspected drug be held, discontinued, or otherwise changed. AND/OR An antidote or other treatment was required. No increase in length of stay (LOS).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Any level 3 ADR which increases length of stay by at least 1 day OR The ADR was the reason for the admission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Any level 4 ADR which requires intensive medical care OR The adverse reaction caused permanent harm to the patient.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>The adverse reaction either directly or indirectly led to the death of the patient.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Modified Schumock and Thornton scales

Criteria for determining preventability of an ADR: answering yes to one or more implies ADR is preventable.

1. Was there a history of allergy or previous reactions to the drug?
2. Was the drug involved appropriate for the patient's clinical condition?
3. Was the dose, route or frequency of administration appropriate for the patient's age, weight or disease state?
4. Was required therapeutic drug monitoring or other necessary laboratory tests performed?
5. Was a drug interaction involved in the ADR?
6. Was poor compliance involved in the ADR?
7. Was a toxic serum drug concentration (or laboratory monitoring test) documented?
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A STUDY TO EVALUATE THE EFFICACY OF PROSEAL LARYNGEAL MASK AIRWAY AND LARYNGEAL TUBE SUCTION IN PATIENTS FOR SURGERY UNDER GENERAL ANAESTHESIA WITH CONTROLLED VENTILATION

Maninder Kaur1, Ruchi Gupta1, Kuljit Singh Aujla2, Chiteshwar Walia1, Lakshmi Mahajan1, Nayyaamat K Sandhu1

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Proseal Laryngeal Mask Airway (PLMA) and Laryngeal Tube Suction (LTS) are two supraglottic airway devices with provision of gastric drainage. We compared their efficacy under general anaesthesia with controlled ventilation. Material & Methods: 30 patients in each group A & B had either PLMA or LTS inserted. Primary outcome variables were insertion time, hands free anaesthesia and airway manipulations. Secondary outcome variables were assessment for hemodynamic & ventilatory parameters and incidence of complications. Results: Both groups were comparable for demographic data, ease of insertion and number of attempts. Evaluation of primary outcome parameters showed shorter mean insertion time, no airway manipulations and better hands-free anaesthesia in group A (PLMA) versus group B (LTS) (p<0.05). The secondary outcome criteria of hemodynamic parameters were comparable between groups. The ventilatory variables (TV, EICO2, peak airway pressures) were better in group A patients versus group B (p<0.05). There were increased cuff pressures (p<0.05), higher incidence of sore throat (p<0.05) and trauma (p>0.05) in group B. No patient aspirated in either group. Conclusion: The PLMA & LTS were comparable devices for airway management but PLMA usage provided superior ventilatory parameters and hands-free anaesthesia with no incidence of sore throat in patients versus LTS. Keywords: proseal laryngeal mask airway, laryngeal tube, airway manipulations, ventilatory parameters.

INTRODUCTION

Proseal Laryngeal Mask Airway (PLMA) and Laryngeal Tube-S (LTS) are two supraglottic devices which have become standard of care in cannot-intubate cannot-ventilate situations and advanced cardiac life support. Because of the gastric drainage tube, they are gaining popularity in a variety of surgeries, even when regurgitation can be a problem. Various studies have been conducted to compare these devices. While several studies have found the two devices comparable others found one superior to the other.4,5

We conducted a prospective randomized study to evaluate the efficacy of the two devices. Primary outcome variable was hands-free anaesthesia. Secondary outcomes included assessment for insertion time, requirement of airway manipulations, hemodynamic & ventilatory parameters and the incidence of complications.

MATERIAL & METHODS

Sixty ASA I and II patients aged 18-60 yrs of either sex scheduled for elective surgery under general anaesthesia with controlled ventilation were included in the study. They were randomly assigned (by computerized random number generation) to have either PLMA (group A; n=30) or LTS (group B; n=30) inserted. The sample size was chosen sufficient for this study based on previous literature taking into consideration drop-out rates. Ethical committee approval and written informed consent was obtained. Exclusion criteria were: morbid obesity with BMI > 40, pregnant patients, patients with active gastro-esophageal reflux, esophageal pathology, pulmonary pathology, ENT procedures, intraperitoneal surgical procedures, glottic or subglottic airway obstruction, mouth opening < 20 mm and ASA physical status III, IV & V.

Anaesthetic management was standardized by the following protocol: Premedication was given with intramuscular inj. glycopyrrolate 5-10mcg/kg & inj. pentazocine 0.5mg/kg 45 min prior to surgery. After baseline vital sign monitoring in the operating room, the patient was preoxygenated for 3 minutes with 100% oxygen. Anaesthesia was induced with inj. Propofol (2-3mg/kg) IV and inj. succinylcholine (1-2mg/kg) IV.

Such device was inserted as per manufacturer's recommendations. Additionally, the following procedures were performed.

After deflation of the LTS cuff, a water-soluble lubricant was applied. Placing the patient in sniffing position, jaw thrust was used to assist airway placement in all cases. Following placement of the tip of LTS against the hard palate behind the upper incisors, the device was advanced in the centre of the mouth until resistance was felt. If no resistance was felt, the LTS was positioned with the second bold line on the tube between the upper and lower incisors. Using a cuff inflator (VBM, Germany), the cuff was inflated to an intracuff pressure of < 60 cm H2O. The size was decided as per patient's height, i.e. <155 cm - size 3; 155–180 cm - size 4.6

The PLMA was inserted using the introducer technique in all cases by applying water soluble lubricant and jaw thrust. Size 3 PLMA was used for females and size 4 for males. The cuff was inflated using the same VBM cuff inflator as LTS upto intracuff pressure of < 60 cm H2O.7

Patient evaluation was done on basis of primary and secondary outcome variables. Insertion time (time from jaw relaxation upto connection with anaesthetic circuit & checking of adequate ventilation) & number of insertion attempts were recorded. Assessment of ease of insertion was graded – easy, moderately difficult, difficult and impossible.

Adequacy of ventilation was assessed by
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coincided, it was presumed that aspiration had occurred, patient observed for at least 72 hours postoperatively. Management on the lines of aspiration was done; taking a chest X-Ray, administration of intravenous antibiotics, steroids & bronchodilators. Any other complications occurring during insertion, maintenance, emergence or immediately postoperatively were recorded & treated. Before leaving the recovery room, any damage to oral structures was noted and patient observed for at least 24 hrs postoperatively.

An Airway Assessment of device was classified -

Excellent - Easy insertion, no coughing/bucking, no additional airway manipulations required, device passed at 1 attempt.

Good - Moderately difficult insertion, coughing/bucking, for <30 sec, slight airway manipulation required, up to 2 attempts required.

Fair - Difficult insertion, excessive coughing/bucking, significant airway manipulation, >2 attempts required.

Poor - Inability to insert the device, presence of laryngospasm or coughing, airway obstruction.

For judging the efficacy, if ≥3 criteria’s were met, patient was considered in that particular grade; if <3 criteria’s were met- patient was considered in a lower grade of classification.

The power of 0.99 and α error of 0.05 was calculated with primary analysis of power being done for hands free anaesthesia. Statistical analysis was done with student t-test for parametric data and Chi-square test for non-parametric data. Intragroup comparison was performed with paired t-test and intergroup with student t-test.

RESULTS

Both groups were comparable with respect to demographic data (age, sex, weight, type and duration of surgery) (Table 1). Evaluation of primary outcome showed significantly better hands free anaesthesia in group A (PLMA), as compared to group B (LTS) (p<0.05).

The secondary outcome criteria for efficacy in terms of hemodynamic parameters were comparable between the groups. Group A (PLMA) showed significantly shorter mean insertion time and no airway manipulations as compared to group B (LTS) (p<0.05) (Table 2). There were significantly lower expiratory tidal volumes and higher peak airway pressures up to 75 minutes and higher EICO2 levels up to 45 minutes of device insertion with group B versus group A (p<0.05) (Figures 1, 2 & 3). There was higher incidence of sore throat (p<0.05) and trauma (p>0.05) in group B versus group A (Figure 5). The higher incidence of these complications correlated to the significantly higher cuff pressures seen with LTS as compared to PLMA (Figure 4). The device tip and gastric fluid pH were never same, thus indicating that there was no incidence of possible aspiration in any patient with either device.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group A(PLMA): Group B(LTS)</th>
<th>n=29</th>
<th>n=30</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>40.86 ± 14.98</td>
<td>43.9 ± 13.683</td>
<td>0.377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>57.48 ± 8.572</td>
<td>61.10 ± 7.849</td>
<td>0.095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cms)</td>
<td>165.31 ± 6.268</td>
<td>153.23 ± 6.136</td>
<td>0.264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex – male:female</td>
<td>4:25</td>
<td>4:26</td>
<td>0.959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of surgery (mins)</td>
<td>62.07 ± 19.66</td>
<td>58.17 ± 19.05</td>
<td>0.442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dose of propofol (mg)</td>
<td>118.28 ± 16.49</td>
<td>123.67 ± 16.29</td>
<td>0.212</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group A (PLMA)</th>
<th>Group B (LTS)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Insertion time (sec)</td>
<td>13.96 ± 3.35</td>
<td>19.4 ± 3.33</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airway manipulations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cuff inflation &amp; Chin &amp; jaw thrust</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chin &amp; jaw thrust</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hands free anesthesia</td>
<td>20.00/0.0</td>
<td>24.5/0.0</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airway assessment</td>
<td>26.10/0.0</td>
<td>27.3/0.0</td>
<td>0.317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ease of insertion</td>
<td>26.10/1.1</td>
<td>28.2/0.0</td>
<td>0.574</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of attempts</td>
<td>28.10</td>
<td>28.2/0.0</td>
<td>0.574</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ex-excellent, G-good, F-fair, P-poor; E-easy, MoD-moderately difficult, D-difficult, I-impossible

Figure 1: End tidal carbon dioxide in percentage

Figure 2: Expiratory tidal volume in ml

Figure 3: Peak airway pressures in cm of H2O

Figure 4: Cuff pressures in cm of H2O
We found that the PLMA insertion was superior to LTS as it provided a shorter insertion time (p=0.000). Whereas with LTS, this resistance had to be negotiated solely by the advancing tip and the large oropharyngeal cuff could cause airway obstruction and delay in insertion.

Similarly, airway manipulations and hands-free anaesthesia which go hand in hand depend upon final position of device in situ, height of the patient and length of the neck. Our study showed significantly less insertion time and manipulations in group A compared to group B (p=0.05). This could be because of the fact that the PLMA rested over the laryngeal inlet, whereas the distal end of LTS plugged the esophageal opening. Use of fibrescope laryngoscope to confirm the position helps in reducing manipulations

Ease of insertion and number of attempts were comparable in both groups. There was one failure in the group A (PLMA) where endotracheal tube was inserted leading to exclusion of the case from statistical analysis. In this case, intubation was also possible only after two attempts by an expert, possibly signifying altered airway anatomy.

The better hemodynamic stability being one of the considerations for use of LMA vis-à-vis endotracheal tube, but when we compared PLMA in relation to LTS, our results were similar.

As far as the ventilatory parameters such as expiratory tidal volumes, EtCO2 levels & peak airway pressures were concerned: in contrast to previous observations by Cartensen et al (2003), Klaver et al (2007) and Cattano et al (2012), we found PLMA performed better than LTS (p<0.05); probably due to ventilatory inadequacy and smaller ventilation ports of LTS. However, the oxygen saturation and respiratory rate were comparable in the two groups. Consistent with our finding, Gaitini LA et al (2004) also found statistically significantly higher PEEP pressures with LTS versus PLMA (PLMA- 19.4 ± 6 cm H2O, LTS- 27.5 ± 3 cm H2O). Cook et al had quoted that the initial success with the devices did not guarantee optimal ventilation under surgical conditions and the reliability of the PLMA was greater than the LTS.

There was a highly significant rise in cuff pressure with time in both groups from baseline, but LTS always had significantly higher cuff pressures versus PLMA. Various complications had been quoted in the literature with PLMA and LTS usage.

In our study, the major side effect we encountered was sore throat in group B, which correlated well to significantly increased cuff pressures with the group, although not performed in this study. The correlation between cuff pressures and sore throat have been reported by various studies and our study substantiated their results. They attributed it to be due to diffusion of nitrous oxide into the cuff and higher volumes required to inflate its two cuffs of LTS which matched the anatomical region where the cuff pressure of LTS exerted its effect (pharynx and hypopharynx). They have also reported poor relationship between intracuff pressure and pressure exerted on pharynx in case of PLMA versus LTS. The incidence of trauma was lower with group A versus group B (p>0.05). The other complication being increased chances of aspiration, was not seen in our cases but Gatini et al reported one such case due to malposition of PLMA.

LIMITATIONS

Our study had a few limitations. Firstly, we did not confirm the position of the devices with use of a fibrescope and hence were unable to comment whether the difference in the insertion conditions and ventilatory parameters were due to malposition or any other reason. Secondly, we did not measure and compare the neck size of the patients, and thus, could not rule out a difference in the same to be the reason for variation in insertion and ventilation with the two devices in.
our study. Thirdly, N2O was used in our study; in case air/oxygen was used, probably the cuff pressures would have been lower.

CONCLUSION
We conclude that both PLMA and LTS proved similar in airway management & hemodynamic parameters. However, PLMA was superior to LTS with respect to insertion time, airway manipulations and ventilatory parameters. Also, the incidence of complications was significantly lower with PLMA usage.
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A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF THE EFFECTS OF EPIDURAL BUPIVACAINE AND BUPIVACAINE–FENTANYL MIXTURE IN LOWER ABDOMINAL SURGERY

Geeta Karki1, Lallit Singh2, S Moied Ahmed3

ABSTRACT
Combination of epidural opioids with local anaesthetics to improve the quality of epidural anaesthesia and duration of analgesia in the immediate postoperative period is a subject of extensive study. This method is very useful because addition of opioids does not cause an increase in side effects while improving the quality of analgesia. We evaluated in this prospective randomized double blind study the effect of 100 µg of fentanyl added to 20 ml of 0.5% bupivacaine plain with respect to the onset of sensory block, hemodynamic stability, quality of analgesia, mean duration of postoperative analgesia and incidence of side effects, if any. Sixty ASA I-II patients aged between 18 – 65 yrs scheduled for elective surgery under epidural anaesthesia were divided into two groups. Patients were randomly allocated to receive either 100µg fentanyl (Group I, n = 30) or 2 ml of normal saline (Group II, n = 30) combined with 20 ml of 0.5% bupivacaine plain. Epidural anaesthesia was given in sitting position in L₃₋₅ space. Time of onset and highest level of sensory block was assessed using pin prick test, motor block was assessed by modified Bromage scale and quality of analgesia by VAS (Visual analogue scale). In group I there was faster onset of sensory block, better hemodynamic stability and quality of analgesia and longer mean duration of analgesia than group II. The incidence of side effects was higher in group I but not clinically significant.

Key Words: Epidural, Fentanyl, Bupivacaine, Analgesia

INTRODUCTION
John Milton complained in ‘Paradise lost’, “Pain is perfect misery, the worst of all evils”. The same feeling is being uttered by human beings since ages, for pain is as old as mankind, and can be even older. There are ample reasons to believe that it is inherent to life. Apart from its humanitarian aspect, there are therapeutic values of pain relief specially in post-operative period.

International Association for study of pain has defined pain as an “unpleasant sensory and emotional experience associated with actual or potential damage or described in terms of such damage”.

Epidural anaesthesia is a central neuraxial block technique with many applications. Improvements in equipment, drugs and technique have made it a popular and versatile anaesthetic technique, with applications in surgery, obstetrics and pain control. Both single injection and catheter techniques can be used. Single shot epidural, without the use of a catheter, is still widely used in various settings, and is effective in providing intraoperative anaesthesia and analgesia in the immediate post-operative period. The major disadvantage of single shot epidurals is that the duration of post-operative analgesia is limited to the duration of action of the drug given and cannot be topped up. The most commonly used local anaesthetics are lignocaine 2% or bupivacaine 0.5%. The former has a faster onset but shorter duration and the latter has a slower onset and longer duration.

In clinical practice the ideal local anaesthetic should combine rapid onset and a long duration of action. At present, no anaesthetic agent has such characteristics, but there have been numerous studies of the effects of mixtures of different anaesthetic solutions in an attempt to combine the two properties. The effects of the association of an opioid with a local anaesthetic in extradural have been investigated little, or without control studies. The addition of opioids to local anaesthetic solutions has gained popularity; as the opioids have a synergistic effect by acting directly on opioid receptors in the spinal cord. Relief of pain during surgery is the primary aim and most important component of balanced anaesthesia. Adequate pain relief in post-operative period has always been a problem. Pain is severe on the first day after surgery and diminished over the next 24 hours and is minimal afterwards. The main aim is to ensure that the patient gets relief at the appropriate time.

More recently, the role of extradural opioids has been investigated in enhancing the analgesic effect of local anaesthetics. Because of its rapid onset of action and lipid solubility, which theoretically reduces rostral spread in cerebrospinal fluid, fentanyl is considered to be a suitable opioid for extradural administration.

In this study, our aim was to compare epidural bupivacaine alone and bupivacaine-fentanyl mixture with respect to onset of analgesia, segmental spread, hemodynamic changes, duration of analgesia and incidence of side effects.

METHODS
After approval of ethics committee a written informed consent was taken. Sixty patients of ASA grade I & II, 18 to 65 yrs of age, of either sex, undergoing elective lower abdominal surgery under epidural anaesthesia were included in the study. The patients were randomly divided into 2 groups: Group I (n = 30) received 100 µg fentanyl with 20 ml 0.5% bupivacaine plain and Group II (n = 30) received 2 ml normal saline with 20 ml 0.5% bupivacaine plain. Patients under 18 years and above 65 years, ASA physical status 3 or higher, with known hypersensitivity to opioids, contraindication for epidural anaesthesia, any bleeding disorder, uncontrolled diabetes, hypertension, ischemic heart disease and significant respiratory disease and those who refused for epidural were excluded from the study. After the standard monitors were placed and intravenous access was established patients were preloaded with 10 ml/kg lactated Ringer’s solution. Following all aseptic precautions, 2% lignocaine was infiltrated into the space in which the anaesthetic was to be given. Epidural anaesthesia was given in sitting position in L₃₋₅.
space through midline approach with a 18 G Tuohy epidural needle. Epidural space was identified by "loss of resistance" technique. Aspiration test for CSF and blood was done. After giving a test dose of 3 ml of lignocaine with adrenaline and looking for the effect, total dose of 20 ml of Bupivacaine 0.5% was slowly given with intermittent aspiration. After adequate level of blockade was achieved, the surgery was performed under epidural anaesthesia with sedation (Injection Midazolam 1 mg i.v.), heart rate, blood pressure, SpO2 respiratory rate were monitored continuously. Sensory level was assessed with pinprick and motor block with Bromage scale. Duration of analgesia was assessed by the time of appearance of pain (VAS = 4 or more) and demand for analgesic in the postoperative period. Incidence of side effects if any—nausea, vomiting, voiding difficulty, pruritis, backache, hypoxia etc were also recorded.

Data are presented as mean and standard deviation. The statistical analysis was performed using SPSS version 20. Analysis of demographic data was done by Chi-square test and for quantitative data paired t-test was used. A "p" value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

As shown in Figure 1 and 2, there was no significant difference between the study groups regarding mean age, height, weight, sex and type of surgery (p>0.05).

As shown in Figure 3, in both the groups heart rate, systolic blood pressure (SBP), diastolic blood pressure (DBP) and oxygen saturation were comparable preoperatively (p > 0.05). Figure 4 shows the time taken for sensory blockade at different dermatomal levels. The mean time taken for attaining sensory blockade to T6 level in the fentanyl group was 12.7±2.29 minutes which was faster than the mean time taken for onset of sensory blockade in the control group which was 20.3±1.87 minutes. Table 1 shows the changes in heart rate. It was seen that upto 45 minutes post-induction, mean heart rate in study group was significantly higher as compared to control group. Although the mean value of HR in study group was higher as compared to control group at 60 and 90 minutes too, but the difference was not significant statistically(Figure 4). Table 2 (Figure 5) shows the changes in systolic blood pressure (SBP) in the two groups. Statistically significant differences between two groups were seen from 10 minutes post induction till 45 minutes post induction with duration of analgesia was assessed by the time of appearance of pain (VAS = 4 or more) and demand for analgesic in the postoperative period. Incidence of side effects if any—nausea, vomiting, voiding difficulty, pruritis, backache, hypoxia etc were also recorded.

The quality of analgesia as evident from the VAS score. At 0 minutes, there was no significant difference between two groups was seen (p>0.05). Table 3 (Figure 6) shows changes in diastolic blood pressure (DBP). Statistically no significant difference between two groups was seen at 1 min, 5 min, 10 min, 45 min and 60 minutes post-induction respectively though the mean value of study group was higher at all the times. At 15 min, 20 min, 30 min and 90 min post induction, the mean value of study group was significantly higher as compared to control group (p<0.05). Hemodynamic stability was thus better maintained in the fentanyl group than the control group in which there was a fall in the heart rate, systolic and diastolic blood pressure. Table 4 shows the VAS score. At 0 minutes, there was no significant difference in pain score (VAS) in two groups (p=0.161), however from 30 minutes onwards, control group had significantly higher VAS score as compared to study group (p=0.001). The quality of analgesia as evident from the VAS score was better in the fentanyl group than in the control group. The mean VAS at 2 hrs postoperatively in the fentanyl group was 2.13±1.11 which was lower than the mean VAS in the control group which was 5.60±1.54. Table 5 shows the mean time for demand of analgesia in postoperative period. The mean duration of analgesia in postoperative period in the fentanyl group was 8.07±1.66 hours which was longer than the mean duration of post-operative analgesia in the control group which was 3.82±1.07 hours. Table 6 shows the incidence of side effects. The incidence of side effects in the study group was higher than in the control group but not clinically significant (p<0.05).

DISCUSSION

Use of epidural opioids with local anaesthetics to improve the quality of anaesthesia and to prolong the duration of analgesia in the immediate postoperative period is a subject of extensive study. This method is of great use because the addition of opioids does not cause an increase in the incidence of side effects while improving the quality of analgesia. The present study was undertaken to evaluate the efficacy of adding fentanyl to epidural bupivacaine plain 0.5% for epidural anaesthesia in lower abdominal surgery. The desired level of sensory blockade for surgical anaesthesia was T-6. The mean time taken for sensory blockade up to T-6 in control group was 20.3±1.87 min and in study group was 12.7±2.29 min which is comparable to results of other studies conducted in past. Young IK Jang et al. observed that mean time for sensory loss up to T-6 in control group was 17.6±1.6 min and in fentanyl group was 8.1±1.1 min. Christelis N et al. observed that time taken for sensory loss up to T-6 in fentanyl group was 14.7±9.1 min. The patients in fentanyl group showed fewer instances of acute hypotension than the control group. Prakash S et al. observed that SBP and DBP remained stable in all patients given epidural bupivacaine with fentanyl for labour analgesia. Post operative pain is a dynamic event which is dependent on multiple factors like the type of surgery, the psychological state of patient, sex of patient, etc. Visual Analogue Score (VAS) is an effective measure of post-operative pain. In the present study, the quality of analgesia in the postoperative period was assessed by using the Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) in the two groups. The mean VAS at 120 minutes in the control group was 5.60±1.54 and in the study group was 2.13±1.11. From 30 minutes to 120 minutes postoperatively, the VAS was lower in the study group than in the control group (p<0.001) which is suggestive of a better quality of analgesia in the study group than the control group. The observation in the present study of a better quality
of analgesia in the study group than in the control group is comparable to that of various studies conducted in the past. Tekin S et al. observed that in the postoperative period after abdominal hysterectomy, the Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) scores were lower in the fentanyl group than in the control group (p<0.05). Helbo Hansen et al. observed that quality of analgesia was improved in the fentanyl group (p=0.05). Halonen PM et al. observed that patients in fentanyl group had significantly less pain than the control group (p=0.0256).

The mean time taken for analgesic demand in the control group was 3.82±1.07 hours and that in the study group was 8.07±1.66 hours, which is comparable to the results of Helbo Hansen et al. Halonen PM et al. and Cohen SE et al. Helbo Hansen et al. observed that the time to first supplemental analgesic request was 6 hour in the fentanyl group which was significantly prolonged than the control group (p<0.05). Halonen PM et al. observed that the postoperative time until treatment for pain requested by the patients was longer in fentanyl group than in control group (p<0.01). Cohen SE et al. observed that duration of analgesia was significantly prolonged after epidural fentanyl with bupivacaine (p=0.05).
Table 1: Comparison of Heart Rate in two groups at different time intervals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No.</th>
<th>Time interval</th>
<th>Control (n=30)</th>
<th>Study (n=30)</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>&quot;p&quot;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 min</td>
<td>73.2±9.42</td>
<td>86.5±14.29</td>
<td>-4.129</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>5 min</td>
<td>71.3±8.68</td>
<td>83.6±13.60</td>
<td>-4.164</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>10 min</td>
<td>68.8±8.96</td>
<td>80.4±12.98</td>
<td>-4.006</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>15 min</td>
<td>64.9±10.07</td>
<td>78.4±14.40</td>
<td>-4.158</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>20 min</td>
<td>64.0±9.04</td>
<td>77.2±14.43</td>
<td>-4.267</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>30 min</td>
<td>65.3±8.16</td>
<td>74.9±13.65</td>
<td>-3.308</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>45 min</td>
<td>68.0±7.57</td>
<td>74.3±12.42</td>
<td>-2.622</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>60 min</td>
<td>69.7±7.48</td>
<td>73.3±12.32</td>
<td>-1.735</td>
<td>0.088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>90 min</td>
<td>72.3±7.91</td>
<td>75.2±11.66</td>
<td>-1.140</td>
<td>0.259</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Comparison of Systolic blood pressure (SBP) in two groups at different time intervals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No.</th>
<th>Time interval</th>
<th>Control (n=30)</th>
<th>Study (n=30)</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>&quot;p&quot;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 min</td>
<td>134.8±15.25</td>
<td>128.4±17.84</td>
<td>1.509</td>
<td>0.137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>5 min</td>
<td>127.9±13.80</td>
<td>125.6±18.75</td>
<td>-0.611</td>
<td>0.543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>10 min</td>
<td>119.2±14.21</td>
<td>122.9±19.75</td>
<td>-2.799</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>15 min</td>
<td>108.5±12.33</td>
<td>120.3±18.62</td>
<td>-3.020</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>20 min</td>
<td>103.9±13.10</td>
<td>119.9±19.08</td>
<td>-4.506</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>30 min</td>
<td>107.6±16.68</td>
<td>119.5±14.56</td>
<td>-6.422</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>45 min</td>
<td>107.5±29.10</td>
<td>117.5±13.17</td>
<td>-4.086</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>60 min</td>
<td>120.2±12.17</td>
<td>118.0±12.38</td>
<td>-1.606</td>
<td>0.119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>90 min</td>
<td>126.9±10.62</td>
<td>120.6±11.04</td>
<td>-1.018</td>
<td>0.313</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The incidence of side effects was higher in the study group as compared to the control group, but not statistically significant (p > 0.05). All the seven patients showing any side effect in control group had hypotension. In the study group, 2 (6.7%) patients had nausea/vomiting and 3 (10%) patients each had pruritus and urinary retention. These observations are comparable to the results of various authors. Milon D et al. reported that fentanyl never induced any significant hemodynamic variations. Pruritus and nausea occurred but were not statistically significant. P.M. Halonen observed that mild pruritus not requiring treatment was more common in fentanyl group than the control group (p=0.0187) but not statistically significant. Torda TA et al. observed that pruritus and nausea/vomiting was observed in the fentanyl group but there was no statistical difference from the control group.

**CONCLUSION**

Single shot epidural block is a widely used technique for lower abdominal and lower limb surgeries. Its advantage is that it provides intraoperative anaesthesia and analgesia in the immediate postoperative period. The use of local anaesthetics alone for epidural block is characterized by its limited duration of action. Adding adjuvants to local anaesthetics is a widely used method to prolong the duration of analgesia. It can be concluded from the present study that addition of 100 µg fentanyl to 0.5% bupivacaine for epidural block is beneficial in terms of faster onset, hemodynamic stability, improved quality of analgesia and prolonged duration of analgesia in the immediate postoperative period without any increase in side effects.
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Results
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Conclusions
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The editor of this book, Dr Manpreet Singh is involved in teaching the students of BSc Medical Technology and Operation Theatre, Trauma Technician courses, MBBS and MD (Anaesthesiology and Intensive care) at Government Medical College, Chandigarh, India.

‘ANAESTHESIA AND ALLIED SCIENCES FOR PARAMEDICS’ is first book of its kind and comprises of six sections. All sections are colour coded for easy identification.

Section one consists of anatomy, physiology and clinical biochemistry for paramedics. Details of all muscles, bones and joints along with their actions, nerves and vessels are compiled in a tabular form so that it can be easily learnt and recapitulated by students. Essential physiology and clinical biochemistry are concised subsections of this section.

Second section provides every detail about anaesthesia and its various sub-specialities. This section has 40 chapters i.e from history of anaesthesia till modular operation theatre suit details. Apart from basics of anaesthesia and sub-specialties of anaesthesia, it highlights operation theatre suit, air-conditioning of Operation theatre and ICU, sterilization, pain management, dialysis room management and transportation of patients and anaesthesiologists.

Third section, ‘Pharmacology in Anaesthesia’ describes intricacies of all anaesthetic drugs and emergency drugs. These drugs are described in tabular forms in easy language. This section will help the students to explain the drugs that are asked in table viva during examination.
Section four covers all the anaesthesia instruments. These includes anaesthesia machine, automated external defibrillator, sutures, vaporizers and all airway management equipments. The details of instruments will be very beneficial for the students during training periods, examination, table viva and day-to-day practice.

Fifth section provides knowledge of 32 unique topics of modern anaesthetic practices that requires utmost attention. It highlights brief knowledge about clinical audit, hospital waste management, ECG, EMG, cardiopulmonary resuscitation 2010 guidelines, intensive care topics and physics in anaesthesia.

The final section 6, highlights all the scoring systems, algorithms and grading in anaesthesia. The students will be elated to read this section as they will feel comfortable to find all gradings at one place.

This book will be extremely useful to all residents of anaesthesiology and paramedics i.e MSc. Operation Theatre, BSc Medical Technology students, operation theatre technicians nurses, physiotherapists and trauma technicians. I assure that the student will not move away from this comprehensive book that will be useful in all types of examinations, skill development and knowledge augmentation.

The book is a sincere tribute to my father who had this dream for me. I am fortunate enough to have blessings from Almighty, my teachers and parents. All the contributors of this book have provided me a great support and deserve my heartfelt gratitude.

Dr Manpreet Singh (Editor)
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